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• Basic Reporting Requirements
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  – Transition to new indicators (cont. from FY18)
  – Narrative changes

• Live Demo!
• Tips & Reminders
• Tricky Indicator instructions
• Q&A
FTFMS Overview
FTFMS Overview

• Feed the Future Monitoring System (FTFMS)
• Initiative with 11 USG Agencies [6 reporting into FTFMS]
• For USAID, our work with EG.3 Ag funds and/or HL.9 Nutrition funds and/or FFP Development funds counts as FTF; Other USG Agencies decide what’s appropriate
• Annual reporting by Partners, Offices, Country Posts, Missions
• Contains over 2,500 Activities; >700 active/yr; >1,000 users
FTFMS Overview

• Data aggregated & used for:
  – Producing the Progress Report
  – Answering Congress
  – Justifying budget requests
  – Annual Performance Reviews @ Country Level
  – Informing Front Office decisions
  – Engaging with stakeholders
  – Influencing policy and programming decisions
Basic Reporting Requirements
# Basic Reporting Req’s FY19

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USAID Implementing Partner (IP)</th>
<th>USAID Operating Unit (OU) (Mission or BFS HQ staff, including AORs/CORs/AMs)</th>
<th>Interagency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Enter indicator results &amp; targets for IM-level data</td>
<td>• Enter indicator results &amp; targets for OU-level data</td>
<td>• Enter indicator results &amp; targets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Review data for accuracy</td>
<td>• Review <em>both</em> OU and IM data for accuracy</td>
<td>• Review data for accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Submit to OU</td>
<td>• Approve in FTFMS (this submits it to BFS)</td>
<td>• Approve in FTFMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Write and submit your IM Performance Narrative</td>
<td>• Write and submit your FTF Key Issue Narrative</td>
<td>• Write and submit your Program-level Narrative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Write and submit your BFS HQ Country Narrative(s) (BFS IMs only)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Timeline FY19

• System OPENS: **Tuesday, Oct 15**

• System DEADLINES:
  
  – FOR USAID:
    • IP Data due to OUs for review: **Nov 15**
    • OUs approval of data in FTFMS: **Dec 6**
    • BFS HQ MEL TA Review & OU / IP Corrections: Dec 9 – Jan 31

  – FOR INTERAGENCY:
    • Data approved by: **Jan 17**; Review and corrections Jan 21 – 31

  – FOR USAID / FFP:
    • Data approved by: **Jan 24**; Review and corrections Jan 21 – 31

***ALL DATA due in FINAL form: **Monday, Feb 3***
Key Changes & Highlights for FY19
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Key Changes & Highlights FY19

- Revised FTF Indicator Handbook (Sept 2019); update to March 2018 version
- 78 Indicators (organized two ways):
  - **53 performance (we’re accountable!)**
    - 26 IM-level
    - 6 National-level
    - 1 Multi-level
    - 20 ZOI-level
  - **25 context (info / observations!)**
    - 17 National-level
    - 5 ZOI-level
    - 1 Global-level
    - 2 Recurrent Crisis Area-level
Key Changes & Highlights FY19

- Handbook revisions included:
  - Title changes
  - Clarifications in PIRS
  - Grammatical corrections
  - More major changes to four indicators (GUEST SPEAKERS!):
    - **HL.9-15** Percent of participants of community-level nutrition interventions…
    - **RESIL-1** Number of host gov or community-derived risk management plans…
    - **EG.3.1-d** Milestones in institutional architecture (IA)…
    - **CBLD-9** Percent of USG-assisted orgs with improved performance…
**Key Changes & Highlights FY19**

**HL.9-15**: Percent of participants of community-level nutrition interventions who practice promoted infant and young child feeding behaviors [IM-level]

*HL.9-15 now deleted!*
Consensus was reached with GH and FFP regarding its lack of overall utility in tracking activity progress for informed decision-making.
**Key Changes & Highlights FY19**

**RESIL-1:** Number of host government or community-derived risk management plans formally proposed, adopted, implemented or institutionalized with USG assistance [IM-level]

RESIL-1 now has the phases nested under the plan type - provides more useful information
EG.3.1-d: Milestones in improved institutional architecture for food security policy achieved with USG support [MT-level]

GUEST SPEAKER - Madeleine Gauthier!
Indicator designed to fill the reporting gap under CCIR 5 of the Results Framework “More effective governance, policy, and institutions”

Tracks and collects descriptive information on **milestones**, or positive steps, achieved that can be associated with more effective policy systems

**Institutional Architecture** (or IA) refers to the entities (public and private) and processes that are part of the policy formulation and implementation
EG 3.1-d: Milestones in improved IA

Annual, outcome-level, performance indicator to be reported at the aggregate level by **OUs (Missions) and not by IPs**

Missions collect information about milestones from various sources: IPs (all sectors) with policy activities, donor coordination groups, sector reviews, etc., based on their strategic policy objectives

Descriptive indicator: no quantitative component

Specific information about each milestone achieved/being pursued in the past year entered in a table: template available in FTFMS and on Agrilinks

The table is then uploaded in FTFMS under “Enter of View Narratives” and “Other Reporting Documents”
Key Changes & Highlights FY19

**CBLD-9:** Percent of USG-assisted organizations with improved performance [IM-level]

**GUEST SPEAKER - Jessica Bagdonis!**


CBLD-9: Percent of USG-assisted organizations with improved performance [IM-level]: Key Changes

• Previously in Feed the Future as EG.3.2-29 and in PPR foreign assistance indicator list as CBLD-8
  ✓ Now revised and labeled as CBLD-9

• Reported annually by Implementing Partners in FTFMS and annually by USAID Operating Units (Missions) through PPR

• Notable changes:
  ✓ number → percent
  ✓ Disaggregation: organization types
To monitor and report progress on this indicator

- **INTENTIONAL**: The Activity’s theory of change should reflect how a process of performance improvement is predicted to improve the delivery of products or services that an organization provides.

- **PROCESS**: An organization must demonstrate that it has undergone and documented at a minimum the following steps:
  - obtain organizational stakeholder input to define desired changes in performance,
  - analyze and assess performance gaps,
  - select and implement performance improvement solution(s), and
  - monitor and measure changes in performance.

- **POSITIVE CHANGE**: An organization must demonstrate that its targets for performance improvement have been met or achieved
Key Changes & Highlights FY19

1. Revised FTF Indicator Handbook (Sept 2019)

2. Transition to new indicators (cont. from FY18)

3. Narrative changes
Transition to the New Indicators

- Remember last year we took advantage of FTF moving into phase two to transition to a set of new indicators.
- Published in March 2018 Handbook (just revised in Sept 2019).
Transition to the New Indicators

- Only those activities that are doing their last year of reporting now (meaning the activity ended before/by Sept. 30, 2019) can use the old indicators (from 2016).

- This means that all IMs / Activities that are still active need to report both results and targets using the new set of indicators from the March 2018 (now revised Sept 2019) version of the Handbook, as listed and defined here: https://www.agrilinks.org/post/feed-future-indicator-handbook
Transition to the New Indicators

• Please review this “quick reference sheet” of the indicators, available under ‘Key Resources’ section of the FTFMS Resources webpage (https://www.agrilinks.org/ftfms) to make sure you’ve made the correct transitions to the new set.

• Some examples of correct transitions from old to new indicators are (next page):
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If you were formerly reporting on this indicator…</th>
<th>Active IMs should now switch to this indicator…</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EG.3-6,7,8 Gross Margins</td>
<td>EG.3-10, -11, -12 Yield of targeted agricultural commodities among program participants with USG assistance [IM-level]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-22 Value of new private sector capital investment in the agriculture sector or food chain leveraged by Feed the Future implementation</td>
<td>EG.3.1-14 Value of new USG commitments and private sector investment leveraged by the USG to support food security and nutrition [IM-level]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-17 Number of farmers and others who have applied improved technologies or management practices with USG assistance</td>
<td>EG.3.2-24 Number of individuals in the agriculture system who have applied improved management practices or technologies with USG assistance [IM-level]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-18 Number of hectares of land under improved technologies or management practices with USG assistance</td>
<td>EG.3.2-25 Number of hectares under improved management practices or technologies with USG assistance [IM-level]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-19 Value of small-holder incremental sales</td>
<td>EG.3.2-26 Value of annual sales of farms and firms receiving USG assistance [IM-level]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-6 Value of agriculture and rural loans as a result of USG assistance</td>
<td>EG.3.2-27 Value of agriculture-related financing accessed as a result of USG assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-3 Number of micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), including famers, receiving agricultural-related credit as a result of USG assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Note:** IM stands for Impact Measurement. USG stands for United States Government.
Key Changes & Highlights FY19
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How to Enter Narratives

1. Click on "Enter or View Narratives" in the menu.
2. Click the "Go" button.
3. View the list of narratives in the table and select one to add or view.
Narratives: IM Performance – all USAID IMs

- IM-specific info
- Be succinct!
  - Provides context to (not just a repeat of) indicator numbers;
  - Any FTF indicator value included should *match* FTFMS
  - List source for other numbers included
Narratives: FTF Key Issue - USAID OUs only

- Overview of Mission’s / OU’s work in FTF
- Objectives 1-3 refer to FTF Results Framework
- Only collecting here, not PPR to avoid duplication of effort
Narratives: Country Summary -

**BFS HQ IMs only!**

- IMs in BFS HQ only!
- In addition to your IM Performance Narrative
- Builds the “Country Summary Sheets” we send to Missions
YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR YOUR DATA.

IT MUST BE COMPLETE and CORRECT!
Live demo!
Live Demo!

- Live website: www.ftfms.net

- Training website: www.training.ftfms.net
  - Username: add “TRN_”, like “TRN_kawest@usaid.gov”
  - Password: same as live site

Demo site click here
FTFMS Data Entry Checklist

AORs/CORs/AMs need to:
1. Check all IMs are listed in FTFMS
2. Fill in missing/incomplete/’TBD’ IM Details
   – Add IM contact, especially AOR/COR/AM
   – Add IM location, down to Admin 2 level
3. Select Indicators & Commodities
4. Click “Start Data Entry”

IPs need to:
1. Enter IM-level indicator results & out-year targets
2. Enter IM Performance Narrative
3. Double check for accuracy and completeness.
4. IP submit to OU / Mission

OUs need to:
1. Enter OU-level indicator results (like EG.3-2!) & out-year targets
2. Enter FTF Key Issue Narrative
3. Review all IM-level *and* OU-level indicator & narrative data
4. OU / Mission reviews & approves all data in system
Tips & Reminders
Tips & Reminders

• *For USAID OUs:* IMs entered into your OP in FACTSInfo auto feed into FTFMS nightly. Check your IM list!
Zero is a *real* number. Do not put a zero when you mean a blank or no data.
**Tips & Reminders**

- Enter data at disaggregate level and FTFMS rolls up (in most cases)
  - Some disaggregates allow double-counting, some do not [a pop-up window with a validation message will appear if there’s error!]
  - Disaggregates *REQUIRED* but “Disaggregate Not Available” row is an option
Tips & Reminders

- Calculations exist on-screen (example below) and in reports
- Combining more than one percentage will do a weighted average (in most cases)
Tips & Reminders

• Must add commodities first for all commodity indicators!

The set of commodity-related indicators reported annually:

• EG.3-10, 11, 12: Yield of targeted agricultural commodities… [IM-level]
• EG.3.1-c: Value of targeted agricultural commodities…[national-level]
• EG.3.2-26: Value of annual sales…[IM-level]
• EG.3.2-24: Number of individuals…who have applied …[IM-level]
• EG.3.2-25: Number of hectares under… [IM-level]
Tips & Reminders

- If you don’t have commodity specifics, but reporting under a commodity indicator, you *still* have to choose a commodity for data entry cells to appear -->

- Choose “Disaggregates not available” if disaggregating by commodity is not meaningful or possible (e.g. multiple crops intermixed in the same plot or agroforestry with a number of different species)

- Choose “Not Applicable” if not reporting on a commodity, but still reporting on a commodity indicator, e.g. EG.3.2-24 when counting “people in government”; or for EG.3.2-25 when reporting under the Conservation/protected area extensive hectare type)
Tips & Reminders

- View PIRS for baseline guidance on each

- For output indicators: Baseline is always zero* *one exception is indicator EG.3-2 for ongoing IMs during transitions

- For outcome indicators: Baseline is existing level prior to intervention
Tricky Indicators
Tricky Indicators!

- EG.3-2 – # individuals participating
- EG.3.2-24 – # individuals applying
- EG.3.2-25 – # hectares under improved management
- EG.3.2-26 – Value of annual sales
- EG.3.2-27 – Value of agricultural financing
- EG.3-10, -11, -12 – Yield at IM-level
EG.3-2 Number of individuals participating in USG food security programs [IM-level]
Tricky Indicators!

**EG.3-2 Number of Individuals Participating**

- Purpose is to capture breadth of our work – *who do we reach?*
- Enter all your direct participants by Sex, Age, Type
- Each IP should report for their IM **and** each OU should report under placeholder IM named, ‘High-level indicators - [COUNTRY NAME]’
- OU take aggregate from all IMs, remove double-counting, then enter
Tricky Indicators!

**EG.3-2 Number of Individuals Participating**

- Children <5 yrs reached with nutrition-specific interventions go under HL.9-1 instead (*except those reached with school-feeding program *can* be counted here*)

- When working with households (HHs):
  - Activities working with one or more members of a HH, only count those who actually participate
  - For HH-level interventions (e.g. sanitation) – count all HH members

- See PIRS for specific examples of who to count
Sex of individuals participating (no double counting)

- Male
- Female

Age Category of individuals participating (no double counting)

1. School-aged children (only for total reached by USG school feeding programs, regardless of actual age)
   - 15-29
   - 30+
   - Not Applicable (for household members counted from HH-level interventions)
   - Disaggregates Not Available

Type of individuals participating (double-counting allowed)

- Parents/caregivers
- Household members (household-level interventions only)
- School-aged children (only for USG school feeding programs)
- People in government
- People in USG-assisted private sector firms
- People in civil society
- Laborers (Non-producer diversified livelihoods participants)
- Producer: Smallholder farmer
- Producer: Non-smallholder farmer
- Producer: Aquaculture
- Producer: size Disaggregate Not Available
- Type of individual Not Applicable
- Type of Individual Disaggregates Not Available
**EG.3.2-24** Number of individuals in the agriculture system who have applied improved management practices or technologies with USG assistance [IM-level]
Expands on EG.3.2-17 to include more individuals in value chain, like people in government, private sector firms, civil society, etc. (not just producers!)

- If reporting individual producers in this indicator applying improved practices to hectares of land or water, then also report their hectares under indicator EG.3.2-25 (similar to connection between EG.3.2-17 and EG.3.2-18 in previous years)
Tricky Indicators!

**EG.3.2-24 Number of Individuals Applying**

- Report these individuals by: **Sex / Age / Management Practice or Tech Type they’re applying / Commodity** --> Unique number in Sex & Age but double-counting allowed under Management Practice and under Commodity

- Notes on double-counting:
  - If a farmer is applying one type of irrigation practice and two types of pest and disease management practices (e.g. aflasafe and pesticide), she would be counted **once** under Irrigation and **only once** under Pest and Disease Management, i.e. double-count **across** disaggregate categories is ok, double-counting **within** categories is not.
  - Same thing with commodities. A farmer producing maize and soy target crops applying multiple practices in maize and practices in soy gets counted **once** under maize and **once** under soy.
Tricky Indicators! *EG.3.2-24*

- No data cells? Must choose commodity first on “Select Indicators…” screen!
Tricky Indicators! **EG.3.2-24**

**Indicator data**

Indicator: **EG.3.2-24: Number of individuals in the agriculture system who have applied improved management practices or technologies with USG assistance [IM-level]**

This is not the same as the old indicator #EG.3.2-17 since its definition has been expanded to capture a larger number of participants throughout the entire value chain, versus just farmers. See page 89 of the Handbook.

- **Value Chain Actor Type:** Smallholder producers
- **Value Chain Actor Type:** Non-smallholder producers
- **Value Chain Actor Type:** People in government
- **Value Chain Actor Type:** People in private sector firms
- **Value Chain Actor Type:** People in civil society
- **Value Chain Actor Type:** Others
- **Value Chain Actor Type:** Disaggregates Not Available
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator / Disaggregation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+ Value Chain Actor Type: Non-smallholder producers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Value Chain Actor Type: People in government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Value Chain Actor Type: People in private sector firms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Value Chain Actor Type: People in civil society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (no double-counting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age category (no double-counting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Practice or Tech Type (double-counting allowed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crop genetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livestock management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-caught fisheries management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commodity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available or Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Value Chain Actor Type: Others</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
EG.3.2-25 Number of hectares under improved management practices or technologies with USG assistance [IM-level]
Expands on EG.3.2-18 to include more types of hectares, including aquaculture and both intensively & extensively managed hectares.

Remember individual producers of hectares reported here should be counted as “individuals applying” under EG.3.2-24! (similar to connection between EG.3.2-17 and EG.3.2-18 last year)
Tricky Indicators!

**EG.3.2-25 Number of hectares under...**

- Report these hectares by: **Sex of Participant / Age of Participant / Management Practice or Tech Type being applied to them / Commodity** -->> **Unique number in Sex & Age but double-counting allowed under Management Practice and in Commodity**

- Notes on double-counting:
  
  ✓ If a farmer is applying one type of irrigation practice and two types of pest and disease management practices (e.g. aflasafe and pesticide), her hectares would be counted once under Irrigation and only once under Pest and Disease Management, i.e. double-count across disaggregate categories is ok, double-counting within categories is not.

  ✓ Same thing with commodities. A farmer producing maize and soy target crops applying multiple practices in maize and practices in soy gets counted once under maize and once under soy.
Tricky Indicators! *EG.3.2-25*

- No data cells? Must choose commodity first on “Select Indicators…” screen!
If not reporting on a commodity (e.g. under Conservation/protected area extensive hectare type), still have to choose one from Commodity List --> pick “Not Applicable” at bottom of list!

If disaggregating by commodity not meaningful or possible (e.g. multiple crops intermixed in the same plot or agroforestry with a number of different species) --> pick “Disaggregates not available” at the bottom of the list!
Tricky Indicators! *EG.3.2-25*

**Indicator data**

Indicator: EG.3.2-25: Number of hectares under improved management practices or technologies with USG assistance (IM-level)

This is not the same as the old indicator #EG.3.2-18 since its definition has been expanded to capture intensive and extensive management practices on different types of hectares, and to include hectares in aquaculture and fisheries. See page 95 of Handbook.

**Indicator / Disaggregation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Hectare</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crop land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultivated pasture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rangeland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation/protected area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freshwater or marine ecosystems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquaculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Indicator / Disaggregation

### EG.3.2-25: Number of hectares under improved management practices or technologies with USG assistance [IM-level]

This is not the same as the old indicator #EG.3.2-18 since its definition has been expanded to capture intensive and extensive management practices on different types of hectares, and to include hectares in aquaculture and fisheries. See page 35 of Handbook.

#### Type of Hectare: Crop land

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex of Owner (no double-counting)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Association-applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Age category of Owner (no double-counting)

- 15-29
- 30+
- Association-applied
- Disaggregates Not Available

#### Management Practice or Tech Type (double-counting allowed)

- Crop genetics
- Cultural practices
- Livestock management
- Wild-caught fisheries management
- Aquaculture management
- Natural resource or ecosystem management
- Pest and disease management
- Soil-related fertility and conservation
- Irrigation
- Agriculture water management-non-irrigation based
- Climate mitigation
- Climate adaptation/climate risk management
- Other

#### Commodity

- Coffee

---

[Image of person holding a mobile phone in a field]
EG.3.2-26 Value of annual sales of producers and firms receiving USG assistance [IM-level]
Tricky Indicators!

**EG.3.2-26 Value of annual sales**

- Remember this replaces EG.3.2-19 (Value of Incremental Sales “VIS”) and includes broader universe (+ firms!)

- Steps for data entry:
  - [1]: If going to report on a commodity, choose it on the “Select Indicators & Commodities” screen;
  - [2]: Choose Type of Product / Commodity / Type of Service from menu
  - [3]: Choose Producer size or Firm size and expand section
  - [4]: Value $ / Number participants / Volume (for commodities) by Sex and Age Category
### Indicator / Disaggregation

**EG 3.2-26: Value of annual sales of farms and firms receiving USG assistance**

This indicator simplifies the previous calculation to generate annual sales instead of incremental sales, as was previously requested under #EG.3.2-19. Sales captured here now include more groups, such as non-smallholder farmers and firms as well as farms. There are also additional disaggregates.

**Cherries**

- **Producer - smallholder**
  - Value of sales (in $USD)
  - Volume of sales (in MT)
  - Number of participant producers

**Sex of Producer**

- Females - Value of sales (in $USD)
- Females - Volume of sales (in MT)
- Females - Number of participant producers
- Males - Value of sales (in $USD)
- Males - Volume of sales (in MT)
- Males - Number of participant producers
- Mixed Sexes - Value of sales (in $USD)
- Mixed Sexes - Volume of sales (in MT)
- Mixed Sexes - Number of participant producers

**Disaggregates Not Available (for sex of producer)**

- Value of sales (in $USD)
- Volume of sales (in MT)
- Number of participant producers

**Age of Producer**

- Age 15-29 years - Value of sales (in $USD)
- Age 15-29 years - Volume of sales (in MT)
- Age 15-29 years - Number of participant producers
- Age 30+ years - Value of sales (in $USD)
- Age 30+ years - Volume of sales (in MT)
- Age 30+ years - Number of participant producers
- Mixed Ages - Value of sales (in $USD)
- Mixed Ages - Volume of sales (in MT)
- Mixed Ages - Number of participant producers
EG.3.2-27 Value of agriculture-related financing accessed as a result of USG assistance [IM-level]
Tricky Indicators!

**EG.3.2-27 Value of ag financing**

- This indicator now includes all types of financing (debt + non-debt) and expands on EG.3.2-3 (Number of micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), including farmers, receiving agricultural-related credit as a result of USG assistance) and EG.3.2-6 (Value of agricultural and rural loans as a result of USG assistance)

- Type of financing accessed: Cash Debt; In-kind Debt; Non-Debt

  - *Value* of Financing by Recipient Size / Sex / Age
  - *Number* of Recipients by Recipient Size / Sex / Age

- FTFMS will roll up totals on-screen
### TOTAL NUMBER of financing recipients by Size, Sex, and Age:

### TOTAL VALUE of DEBT financing received by recipient Size, Sex, and Age:

### TOTAL NUMBER of DEBT financing recipients by Size, Sex, and Age:

**Type of financing accessed:** Cash Debt

**VALUE** of financing received by recipient Size, Sex, and Age:

**Size of recipient(s):**
- Individuals / Microenterprises - value received by this recipient size
- Small & Medium Enterprises - value received by this recipient size
- Large Enterprises & Corporations - value received by this recipient size
- Disaggregates Not Available (for size of recipient) - value received by this recipient size

**Sex of recipient(s):**
- Female - sex of proprietor(s) if financing received by a firm
- Male - sex of this recipient sex
- Mixed (for enterprises) - sex of this recipient sex
- Disaggregates Not Available (for sex of recipient) - sex of this recipient sex

**Age of recipient(s):**
- 15-29 - age group of proprietor(s) if financing received by a firm
- 30+ - age group of this recipient age group
- Mixed Ages (for enterprises) - age group of this recipient age group
- Disaggregates Not Available (for age of recipient) - age group of this recipient age group

**NUMBER** of financing recipients by Size, Sex, and Age:

**Size of recipient(s):**
- Individuals / Microenterprises - Number of recipients of this size
- Small & Medium Enterprises - Number of recipients of this size
- Large Enterprises & Corporations - Number of recipients of this size
- Disaggregates Not Available (for size of recipient) - Number of recipients of this size

**Sex of recipient(s):**
- Female - Number of recipients of this sex
- Male - Number of recipients of this sex
- Mixed (for enterprises) - Number of recipients of this sex
- Disaggregates Not Available (for sex of recipient) - Number of recipients of this sex

**Age of recipient(s):**
- 15-29 - Number of recipients of this age group
- 30+ - Number of recipients of this age group
- Mixed Ages (for enterprises) - Number of recipients of this age group
- Disaggregates Not Available (for age of recipient) - Number of recipients of this age group

---

**Type of financing accessed:** In-Kind Debt

---

**Type of financing accessed:** Non-Debt
EG.3-10, -11, -12 Yield of targeted agricultural commodities among program participants with USG assistance [IM-level]
Yield = Total Production / Units of Production [TP/UP]

Replaces Gross Margin (EG.3-6, -7, -8) with a simplified measure of agricultural productivity

Several data points used under Gross Margin are the same as in this yield indicator (TP, UP, # participant producers)
Tricky Indicators!

EG.3-10, -11, -12 Yield at IM-level

Complicated because we:

- Are collecting yield of many different commodities (e.g. bananas vs. cattle)
- Need to disaggregate by farm size vs. production system; and
- Need to use different default units for each commodity group, i.e. units of measure for Units of Production (UP) and Total Production (TP)
Tricky Indicators! EG.3-10, -11, -12

(1) Crops: metric tons / hectare

✓ disaggregated by farm size (smallholder vs. non-smallholder producer), then sex and age category
(2) Pond aquaculture: KGs / hectare of surface area;

(3) Cage aquaculture: KGs/ cubic meter of cage

✓ These two have no farm size disaggregate, but still disaggregated by sex and age category.
Tricky Indicators! EG.3-10, -11, -12

(4) Dairy: liters of milk / max number of milking animals during the reporting year

(5) Eggs: number of eggs / max number of producing hens during the reporting year

(6) Livestock: weight in KGs of offtake (entire animal(s)) / total number in herd / flock / other group during the reporting year

✓ These three disaggregated by production system (Rangeland, Mixed livestock-crop, Urban/peri-urban, and Intensive, commercial), then sex and age category
(6) Livestock: weight in KGs of offtake (entire animal(s)) / total number in herd / flock / other group during the reporting year

CHANGE IN DENOMINATOR! --->
from ‘max number of animals number of animals in herd/flock/group’
to ‘total number of animals number of animals in herd/flock/other group’

‘ Total’ = starting stock plus additions (purchases, births etc) or ending stock plus offtake and deaths
If you can't enter data using the default units listed, then instead choose:

(7) "Other": you choose units for UP and TP (describe what they are in ‘Indicator Comment’)
Tricky Indicators! EG.3-10, -11, -12

Steps for data entry:

✓ (1) Assign the yield indicator to your IM (EG.3-10,-11,12) on the “Select Indicators & Commodities” screen in FTFMS

✓ (2) Choose commodity(ies) for the yield indicator (click ‘+’ sign under indicator, choose from pop up window)

✓ (3) Go to the ‘Enter Indicator Data’ screen in FTFMS, choose the yield indicator, choose your first commodity from the dropdown.

➢ FTFMS automatically pre-populates the default unit based on the commodity you chose. See Appendix 8 in the guidance for a list of what default units are for each commodity.

✓ (4) Enter **Number of Participants**, **Total Production**, and **Units of Production**

---> Each by Sex and Age Category!

✓ (5) Click ‘calculate totals’ and check that FTFMS automatically-calculated yield totals at top
### CROP yield sub-indicator:

Commodity (choose from list in FTFMS)

--- see column A of Commodities tab on this spreadsheet for which commodities belong in "Crops"

Average YIELD (TP/UP) of this commodity overall

**Farm size: Smallholder producer**

- Average YIELD (TP/UP) of this commodity for Smallholder Producers
- Average YIELD (TP/UP) of this commodity for Female Smallholder Producers
- Average YIELD (TP/UP) of this commodity for Male Smallholder Producers
- Average YIELD (TP/UP) of this commodity for Sex Disagg Not Available Smallholder Producers
- Average YIELD (TP/UP) of this commodity for Smallholder Producers aged 15-29 years
- Average YIELD (TP/UP) of this commodity for Smallholder Producers aged 30+ years
- Average YIELD (TP/UP) of this commodity for Age Disagg Not Available Smallholder Producers

### Number of Participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Number of Participants by Sex</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Number of Participants by Age Category</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Total Production (TP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Production by Sex (convert all to Metric Tons for entry below)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male - Total production in MT on plots managed by Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female - Total production in MT on plots managed by Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available - Total production in MT on plots managed by people whose sex disag is not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Production by Age Category (convert all to Metric Tons for entry below)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-29 - Total production in MT on plots managed by people aged 15-29 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30+ years - Total production in MT on plots managed by people aged 15-29 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available - Total production in MT on plots managed by people whose age category is not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Units of Production (UP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units of Production by Sex (convert all to Hectares for entry below)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male - Total hectares in production managed by Males</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female - Total hectares in production managed by Females</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available - Total hectares in production managed by people whose sex disag is not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Units of Production by Age Category (convert all to Hectares for entry below)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15-29 - Total hectares in production managed by people aged 15-29 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30+ - Total hectares in production managed by people aged 30+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disaggregates Not Available - Total hectares in production managed by people whose age category is not available</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Farm size: Non-smallholder producer
Resources

• **KEY LINKS:**
  – [FTF Resources Page](https://agrilinks.org/ftfms)
  – [FTF Indicator Handbook](https://agrilinks.org/ftfms) *Revised Sept 2019*
  – [FY19 FTFMS Webinar event page](https://agrilinks.org/ftfms) *(recording will be posted here later)*
  – [MEL Toolbox](https://agrilinks.org/ftfms) *(multiple resources!)*
  – [FTFMS website](https://agrilinks.org/ftfms) / [FTFMS Training website](https://agrilinks.org/ftfms)

• **NEED HELP or an FTFMS ACCOUNT?**
  – Contact the HelpDesk at [support@ftfms.net](mailto:support@ftfms.net)

• **FORGOT YOUR PASSWORD?**
  – Click "Forgot password" at the bottom left of the [FTFMS log in screen](https://agrilinks.org/ftfms)

• **NEED PRACTICE?**
  – Visit the [FTFMS Training Site](https://agrilinks.org/ftfms) *(just add "TRN_" before username; same pw)*
Questions later?

- System or account questions?
  - Support@ftfms.net (it’s Mike at our Help Desk!)

- Technical or indicator questions?
  - If you’re an IP --> contact your Mission or USAID POC
  - If you’re a USAID Mission --> contact your BFS MEL TA
  - If you’re in the Interagency --> contact Katie West
Q&A