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- Overview of Indicator Redesign
- New Feed the Future indicators
- Changed Feed the Future indicators
- Archived Feed the Future indicators
- Reporting schedule for new/changed indicators
- Open Comment, Question, and Answer period
Foreign Assistance Indicator Redesign

• Guided by:
  – Indicator use: the process was driven by policy development, strategic planning, and external reporting
  – Improved data quality: All standard indicators now Required-as-Applicable (RAA)
  – Flexibility: Annual, milestone, and multi-year and custom indicators are encouraged
Foreign Assistance Indicator Redesign

• F in collaboration with USAID and State has been updating all the standard foreign assistance indicators for reporting into the PPR.
• New SPSD categories for FTF indicators which fall under EG.3 for Agriculture and HL.9 for Nutrition
• Reduction in total number of indicators!!
PPR and FTFMS – What goes where?

• Feed the Future indicators cover the following areas and elements: nutrition, nutrition-sensitive agriculture and agriculture

• ZOI-level population-based survey indicators and national/regional-level indicators are reported in FTFMS only.
  – Not required in the PPR anymore. OU can include in PPR as custom.

• These indicators are categorized as Required (R), Required-as-Applicable (RAA), and Optional (O).
PPR and FTFMS – What goes where?

• Annual mechanism-level indicators:
  – Reported by IPs into FTFMS
  – Reported by OUs into the PPR
    • Export PPR report from FTFMS
• All FTF mechanism-level indicators are Required-as-Applicable and will be assigned to OUs based on programming
  – OUs can opt out by providing a written justification
• 3 cross-linked mechanism-level indicators that are with other SPSD categories or program areas. These are considered Optional (O)
## FTF Indicators for FY 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Grouping</th>
<th>Sub-total</th>
<th>Total #</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ZOI-level indicators</strong></td>
<td>Required (R)</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required-as-Applicable (RAA)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Optional (O)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>National/regional-level indicators</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required (R)</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Required-as-Applicable (RAA)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mechanism-level indicators</strong></td>
<td>Required-as-Applicable (RAA)</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cross-linked (O)</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overview of New Indicators
List of New FTF Indicators

- **EG.3-1** Number of households benefiting directly from USG assistance under Feed the Future
- **EG.3.3-10** Percentage of female direct beneficiaries of USG nutrition-sensitive agriculture activities consuming a diet of minimum diversity
- **HL.9.1-d** Prevalence of women of reproductive age consuming a diet of minimum diversity (O)
- **HL.9-1** Number of children under five (0-59 months) reached by USG-supported nutrition programs
- **HL.9-2** Number of children under two (0-23 months) reached with community-level nutrition interventions through USG-supported programs
- **HL.9-3** Number of pregnant women reached with nutrition interventions through USG-supported programs
- **HL.9-4** Number of individuals receiving nutrition-related professional training through USG-supported programs
- **HL.9-5** A national multi-sectoral nutrition plan or policy is in place that includes responding to emergency nutrition needs (Yes=1, No=0)
NEW: EG.3-1 Number of households benefiting directly from USG assistance under Feed the Future

- Combination of two previous indicators (Number of rural households benefiting…) and (Number of vulnerable households benefiting…).
- With this indicator now being RAA, we can get an accurate count of the household reach of Feed the Future.
- Potential for double counting households. Households with more than one beneficiary should be counted only once.
- Disaggregations: Duration: new, continuing; Location: rural, urban/peri urban
- IM-level
NEW: EG.3.3-10 Percentage of female direct beneficiaries of USG nutrition-sensitive agriculture activities consuming a diet of minimum diversity

- Applicable to nutrition-sensitive agriculture activities
  - explicit nutrition-related objectives and outcomes
    - e.g. consumption, diet quality
- Captures broad array of activities and pathways
  - addressing agriculture-to-nutrition pathways
    - Food Production, Agriculture-related income, Women’s Empowerment
  - not just nutrient-rich value chain intervention / production to consumption pathway
- IM-level
EG.3.3-10 Percentage of female direct beneficiaries of agricultural interventions consuming a diet of minimum diversity (2)

- Minimum diet diversity= **five of 10 specific food groups**
  - validated **proxy** - likelihood of more micronutrient adequate diet
- Some activities **already collect information** on beneficiary diet diversity
- **Direct** contact with **significant** agriculture-related intervention
  - training, technical assistance, input access
  - not just brief attendance at meeting or farmer field day
NEW: HL.9.1-d Prevalence of women of reproductive age consuming a diet of minimum diversity (O)

- ZOI-level population-based indicator - Optional
- Reflects the same minimum diet diversity = five of 10 specific food groups
- Replacement for Women’s Dietary Diversity Score after 2017
NEW: HL.9-1  Number of children under five (0-59 months) reached by USG-supported nutrition programs

- **Disaggregation by intervention:**
  - BCC promoting essential IYCF behaviors
  - Vit A supplementation
  - Zinc supplementation
  - MNP supplementation
  - Treatment of SAM
  - Treatment of MAM
  - Direct food assistance
- Children reached: directly & through mothers and caretakers
- IM-level
NEW: HL.9-2  Number of children under two (0-23 months) reached with community-level nutrition interventions through USG-supported programs

- Community-level:
  - multiple repeated contacts with mothers;
  - SBCC on IYCN practices;
  - May include but do not have to include: links to health/nutrition services, access to and practice of WASH, provision of specialized food products, promotion of homestead gardens.

- Community level activities coordinate with population level health/nutrition campaigns like child health days but should not count children reached only by population-level campaigns

- Count child if reached through mother/caregiver

- IM-level
NEW: HL.9-3  Number of pregnant women reached with nutrition interventions through USG-supported programs

- A pregnant woman can be counted as reached if she receives one or more of the following interventions:
  - Iron and folic acid supplementation
  - Counseling on maternal nutrition
  - Calcium supplementation
  - Direct food assistance
- Disaggregate by type of intervention; age (<19 or over)
- IM-level
NEW: HL.9-4  Number of individuals receiving nutrition-related professional training through USG-supported programs

• Substantial change in definition: considered new
• Significant knowledge or skills
  • basic and applied nutrition-specific or nutrition-sensitive training
  • academic, pre- and in-service venues
• Health and non-health service providers, policy-makers, researchers, students
  • not mothers/caregivers/family members
• Disaggregates
  • sex
  • degree-seeking / non-degree-seeking
  • under degree-seeking
    • new / continuing
• IM-level
NEW: HL.9-5  A national multi-sectoral nutrition plan or policy is in place that includes responding to emergency nutrition needs

- **Written** document
- Officially **endorsed** by government
- Ministry of **Health, Agriculture, other relevant** Ministries and offices committed
- Includes the following actions in emergencies:
  1. promotion of **infant and young child feeding**
  2. detection and management of **acute malnutrition**
  3. undertaking of **vitamin A supplementation and measles vaccination**
  4. access to **safe water & sanitation, & hygiene** practices
- IM-level
Overview of Indicators with Major Changes
Overall changes to IM-level indicators

- In general, “as a result of USG assistance” is appended to output indicators and “with USG assistance” refers to an outcome indicator
- Renumbering of all indicators based on new SPSD numbering:
  - PROGRAM AREA EG.3: Agriculture
    - Program Element EG.3.1: Agricultural Enabling Environment
    - Program Element EG.3.2: Agricultural Sector Capacity
    - Program Element EG.3.3: Nutrition-Sensitive Agriculture
  - PROGRAM AREA HL.9: Nutrition
    - Program Element HL.9.1: Promotion of Improved Nutrition Practices
    - Program Element HL.9.3: Nutrition Enabling Environment and Capacity
    - Program Element HL.9.4: Cross-cutting Health Systems Strengthening
    - Program Element HL.9.5: Host Country Strategic Information Systems
- Other minor changes in the title or definition to clarify meaning
# List of Indicators with Major Changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EG.3-6,7,8</td>
<td>Farmer’s gross margin per hectare, per animal, per cage obtained with USG assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3-9</td>
<td>Number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs created with USG assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-1</td>
<td>Number of individuals who have received USG-supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-2</td>
<td>Number of individuals who have received USG-supported degree-seeking agricultural sector productivity or food security training</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-3</td>
<td>Number of micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), including farmers, receiving agricultural-related credit as a result of USG assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-5</td>
<td>Number of public-private partnerships formed as a result of USG assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-7</td>
<td>Number of technologies or management practices under research, under field testing, or made available for transfer as a result of USG assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-17</td>
<td>Number of farmers and others who have applied improved technologies or management practices with USG assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-18</td>
<td>Number of hectares under improved technologies or management practices with USG assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-20</td>
<td>Number of for-profit private enterprises, producers organizations, water users associations, women’s groups, trade and business associations and community-based organizations (CBOs) that applied improved organization-level technologies or management practices with USG assistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EG.3.2-23</td>
<td>Value of targeted agricultural commodities exported with USG assistance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHANGE: EG.3-6,7,8 Farmer’s gross margin per unit of hectare, per animal, per cage obtained with USG assistance

• New layered data point: number of direct beneficiaries of commodity value chain activities
• Clarification Unit of Production for livestock:
  – Number of animals in herd for live animals and meat sales
  – Number of animals in production to be used for dairy and eggs.
• If collected with beneficiary-based survey, extrapolate using sample survey weighted estimates of totals
CHANGE: EG.3-9 Number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs created with USG assistance

• Change: Changed definition to state that number of employees refers to full time-equivalent workers during the reporting year rather than previous month.
CHANGE: EG.3.2-1 Number of individuals who have received USG-supported short-term agricultural sector productivity or food security training

- Added option to align with TraiNet definition of short-term training
- Added requirement to enter layered disaggregated data - first by Type of Individual then by Sex.
CHANGE: EG.3.2-2  Number of individuals who have received USG-supported degree-granting agricultural sector productivity or food security training

- Clarification: Changed title from "long-term training" to "degree-granting" training to align with indicator definition
- Added "vocational and associate's" to types of degrees
CHANGE: EG.3.2-3 Number of micro, small, and medium enterprises (MSMEs), including farmers, receiving agricultural-related credit as a result of USG assistance

- Changed title from receiving “loans” to “agricultural-related credit” to better align with definition
- Changed definition to state that number of employees refers to full time-equivalent workers during the reporting year rather than previous month
- Included enterprise size in disaggregate categories
**CHANGE:** EG.3.2-5 Number of public-private partnerships formed as a result of USG assistance

- Provided additional explanation on what counts as a PPP under the indicator. Excluded "community groups" from list of private sector partners.

**CHANGE:** EG.3.2-7 Number of technologies or management practices under research, under field testing, or made available for transfer as a result of USG assistance

- Clarification: It is not required that a technology pass through all three phases to be reported under the indicator.
- Clarification: Phase III counts technologies that are able to be transferred to an end user. It does NOT count the number of technologies actually transferred.
CHANGE: EG.3.2-17 Number of farmers and others who have applied improved techs… and EG.3.2-18 Number of hectares under improved technologies or management practices…

- Added a new disaggregate - Commodity
- Split Climate Mitigation and Adaptation category under Technology Type disaggregate into two categories: Climate Mitigation, Climate Adaptation.
- Clarification: A technology with multiple benefits can be reported under multiple Technology Type categories, depending on how (for what purpose(s)/benefit(s)) the activity is promoted to the beneficiary farmers.
CHANGE: EG.3.2-20 Number of for-profit private enterprises, producers organizations, water users associations, women’s groups, trade and business associations and community-based organizations (CBOs) that applied improved organization-level technologies or management practices with USG assistance

• Replaced "new technologies" with "improved technologies" in title and definition
• Title includes “organization-level”
• Dropped Duration: New; Continuing disaggregate
CHANGE: EG.3.2-23 Value of targeted agricultural commodities exported with USG assistance

- Title modified
- Clarified when a commodity should be counted as “exported”
- Dropped Destination disaggregate
List of Cross-linked Indicators

These indicators have their primary linkages to other categories, or program areas and considered Optional for Feed the Future IMs.

- **ES.5-1** Number of USG social assistance beneficiaries participating in productive safety nets
- **EG.11-6** Number of people using climate information or implementing risk-reducing actions to improve resilience to climate change as supported by USG assistance*
- **EG.5.2-1** Number of firms receiving USG-funded technical assistance for improving business performance*

* New or substantially changed from previous indicator.
List of Dropped FTF Indicators

3.1.9(1) Number of people trained in child and nutrition through USG supported programs

3.1.9(15) Number of children under five (0-59 months) reached by USG-supported nutrition program

3.1.9.2(2) Number of health facilities with established capacity to manage acute undernutrition

3.1.9.2(3) Number of children under five who received Vitamin A from USG-supported programs

3.1.9.3 (1) Percentage of national budget allocated to nutrition

4.5(10) Total increase in installed storage capacity (m³)

4.5.2(13) Number of rural households benefiting directly from USG assistance

4.5.2(14) Number of vulnerable households benefiting directly from USG assistance

4.5.2(27) Number of members of producer organizations and community based organizations receiving USG Assistance

4.5.2(34) Number of people implementing risk-reducing practices/actions to improve resilience to climate change as a result of USG assistance

4.5.2(37) Number of MSMEs, including farmers, receiving business development services from USG assisted sources
# Timing for Reporting on New Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Expected Timing to Begin Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Households benefiting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt;5 reached by nutrition programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt;2 reached with community-level nutrition interventions</td>
<td>Set targets in FY16, report in FY17, (report in FY16 if possible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant women reached by nutrition programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A national multi-sectoral nutrition plan or policy...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of individuals receiving nutrition-related professional training through USG-supported programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female direct beneficiaries minimum diet diversity</td>
<td>New activities only, baseline and targets in 2017 (2016 if possible), report in 2018 (2017 if possible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of women of reproductive age consuming a diet of minimum diversity ...</td>
<td>2015 PBS interim</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Timing for Reporting on Changed Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Expected Timing to Begin Reporting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Farmer's gross margin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of farmers and others who have applied…</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hectares under improved techs …</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of full-time equivalent (FTE) jobs created …</td>
<td>New disag FY 2017, but can report in FY 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of individuals with short-term training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of individuals with degree-seeking training</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSMEs receiving agricultural-related credit</td>
<td>FY2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of public-private partnerships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of technologies under research…</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of organizations…that applied improved techs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value of targeted agricultural commodities exported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Comment, Question, & Answer Time!