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The WEAI: Conception to Adolescence

Emily Hogue
Team Leader for Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning
USAID’s Bureau for Food Security
Why We Decided to Conceive: Some Theory behind Feed the Future

- Focus geographically
- Increase productivity in key value chains
- Promote national policy reforms
- Leverage private sector resources
- Strengthen country systems

Transform local economies through increased agricultural productivity, trade, and jobs

Reduce Poverty through Inclusive Agricultural Growth
**Feed the Future Goal: Sustainably Reduce Global Poverty and Hunger**

**High Level Objective:**
- Improved nutritional status esp. of women & children
- Increased resilience of vulnerable communities and households
- Increased employment opportunities in targeted value chains
- Programs and policies to reduce inequities
- Improved agriculture productivity
- Expanding markets and trade
- Increased private investment in agriculture and nutrition activities
- Improved use of maternal and child health and nutrition services
- Programs and policies to support agriculture sector growth
- Programs and policies to support positive gains in nutrition

**Definition of Food Security**

- Prevalence of poverty
- Prevalence of underweight children
- Agriculture Sector GDP
- Per capita expenditures in rural households
- Women’s Empowerment in Agriculture Index
- Prevalence of stunted children
- Prevalence of wasted children
- Prevalence of underweight women
- Gross margins per unit of land or animal of selected product
- Percent change in value of intra-regional exports of targeted commodities
- Value of incremental sales (farm-level)
- Value of new private investment in agriculture sector or value chain
- Prevalence of maternal anemia
- Dietary diversity for women and children
- Exclusive breastfeeding under six months
- # of local firms/CSO operating sustainably
- Jobs created by investment in agricultural value chains
- Household Hunger Scale
- Exclusive breastfeeding under six months
- Prevalence of maternal anemia

**The Family Tree**
In Utero

USAID determined five domains:
• Production
• Resources
• Income
• Leadership
• Time

The WEAI Team:
• Developed questionnaires
• Piloted the instrument
• Constructed the Index within the five domains (10 indicators)
• Finalized the WEAI survey
A Child is Born

• WEAI launched in February 2012 at the UN Commission on the Status of Women meetings

• Feed the Future started collecting the WEAI for baselines from Fall 2011
The Joys and Woes of the Toddler Years: Baselines 2011-2013

The Joys:
- Discovery of using as a diagnostic tool
- Feedback that women respondents felt valued
- Other organizations wanting to adopt WEAI

The Woes:
- Feedback it was too long
- Some questions were problematic, trouble in different contexts
- Partners had trouble calculating the WEAI
School Age and Its Wonders: First Results

- Findings through the data
- Partners had adapted and were using the WEAI and had results to share: new domains, new calculations
- When we started to really learn from our child
Adolescence

- The learning came together for a more complete picture of all WEAI was and what she could do.
- Some of the woes remained.
- She doesn’t fit in everywhere.
- A few personality flaws to address.
- Still work to be done.
Which brings us to...
college?
a year of finding herself?
the Peace Corps years???
Project-ing WEAI: Adapting WEAI for Project Use and Building a Community of Practice

Agnes Quisumbing
International Food Policy Research Institute
Measuring Women’s Empowerment: Challenges and Opportunities

• Defining empowerment: “expanding people’s ability to make strategic life choices, particularly in contexts in which this ability had been denied to them” (Kabeer 1999)

• Challenges: empowerment is personal, context-specific, therefore difficult to measure

• But: what’s measured matters: if we measure, we can monitor, and use it as a benchmark for progress

• This was the rationale for developing the Women’s Empowerment in Agriculture Index (WEAI)
Methodological foundations and innovations in the WEAI

- Key aspect of index construction: similar to family of multi-dimensional poverty indices (Alkire and Foster 2011, *J of Public Econ*) and the Foster-Greere-Thorbeck (FGT) indices

- Innovative because it uses interviews of the primary male and primary female adults in the same household

- Focus is strictly on *empowerment in agriculture*, distinct from economic status, education, and empowerment in other domains

- Details on index construction in Alkire et al. (2013), *World Development*
How is the Index constructed?

WEAI is made up of two sub indices:

**Five domains of empowerment (5DE)**
A direct measure of women's empowerment in 5 dimensions

**Women's Empowerment in Agriculture Index (WEAI)**
All range from zero to one; higher values = greater empowerment

**Gender parity Index (GPI)**
Women's achievement's relative to the primary male in hh
A woman’s empowerment score shows her own achievements

Five domains of empowerment

- Production 1/5
  - Ten Indicators
    - Input in productive decisions 1/10
    - Autonomy in production 1/10
    - Ownership of assets 1/15
    - Purchase, sale, or transfer of assets 1/15
    - Access to and decisions on credit 1/15
    - Control over use of income 1/5
- Resources 1/5
- Income 1/5
- Leadership 1/5
  - Group Member 1/10
  - Speaking in Public 1/10
- Time 1/5
  - Leisure 1/10
  - Workload 1/10
Who is empowered?

A woman who has achieved ‘adequacy’ in 80% or more of the weighted indicators is empowered
Gender Parity Index (GPI)

Reflects two things:

1. The percentage of women who enjoy gender parity. A woman enjoys gender parity if
   • she is empowered or
   • her empowerment score is equal to or greater than the empowerment score of the primary male in her household.

2. The empowerment gap - the average percentage shortfall that a woman without parity experiences relative to the male in her household.

The GPI adapts the Foster Greer Thorbecke Poverty Gap measure to reflect gender parity.
WEAI for projects...Making The Perfect Omelet

• Many variations, but always some standard “ingredients” (eggs!)
• Today I’ll discuss two options, one ready, and one under development

1. Abbreviated WEAI (A-WEAI)
2. Project-level WEAI (Pro-WEAI)

Many organizations have already adapted the original WEAI to fit a specific project or program by adding/removing indicators/domains, and/or changing the wording of questions (ex: ILRI in Kenya, CARE Pathways project)

How far can adaptations go and still have a WEAI? (or, when is it no longer an omelet?)
Process of Creating the A-WEAI (Abbreviated WEAI)

- **USAID Goals:**
  - Streamline survey
  - Reduce time to administer by ~30%
  - Improve modules that were difficult to administer in the field (time use, autonomy in production, credit, and speaking in public)

- **Process**
  - Developed a pilot questionnaire (2013-early 2014)
  - Conducted cognitive testing (summer 2014)
  - Pilot fieldwork in Bangladesh and Uganda (summer 2014)
  - Analyzed data from pilots (2015)

- **Result:**
  - Version of WEAI with 6 indicators and streamlined questions
  - Intended to be used by USAID, other donors, and potentially by national statistical systems for household surveys
Original WEAI versus A-WEAI

Original: 5 domains, 10 indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOMAIN</th>
<th>INDICATORS</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Production</td>
<td>Input in productive decisions</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autonomy in production</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Resources</td>
<td>Ownership of assets</td>
<td>1/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Purchase, sale, or transfer of assets</td>
<td>1/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access to and decisions on credit</td>
<td>1/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Income</td>
<td>Control over use of income</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Leadership</td>
<td>Group membership</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Speaking in public</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Time</td>
<td>Workload</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leisure</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A-WEAI: 5 domains, 6 indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOMAIN</th>
<th>INDICATORS</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Production</td>
<td>Input in productive decisions</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Resources</td>
<td>Ownership of assets</td>
<td>2/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Access to and decisions on credit</td>
<td>1/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Income</td>
<td>Control over use of income</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Leadership</td>
<td>Group membership</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Time</td>
<td>Workload</td>
<td>1/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>100%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Original WEAI being collected now in FTF interim survey
A-WEAI

• Pros:
  – Shorter administration time compared with the original WEAI (reduced by 30%)
  – Does not include some of the more problematic modules from original baselines
  – When compared to the original WEAI using the second pilot data, the top two constraints contributing to women’s and men’s disempowerment remained the same (group membership and workload)

• Cons:
  – Measures fewer indicators of empowerment; dropping four indicators
  – Only comparable to original baselines if analysis is restricted to 6 indicators
  – When compared to the original WEAI using the second pilot data, one of the top three indicators contributing to women’s and men’s disempowerment changed (credit—although this could be because of the way credit was asked in the baseline)

CAVEAT: Pilots are based on small samples in 2 countries, so results are only indicative
Guidelines on implementing and using A-WEAI

A-WEAI Webinar: September 23
Resources to be released:

• Questionnaire
• Enumerator’s manual
• Instructional guide
And then there are the ad-hoc WEAI adaptations...

- Is it a WEAI if you modify the survey instrument?
- Is it a WEAI if you drop or add indicators?
- Is it a WEAI if you drop a domain?
So many flavors! How to choose?

Thankfully, the WEAI team has developed a table to help you make the hard decisions! The WEAI Versions Table, launched today, displays 4 variations of WEAI (original WEAI, A-WEAI, Pro-WEAI, and ad hoc WEAI adaptations).

But waiter, pro-WEAI isn’t even on the menu!
What projects want from WEAI

- More streamlined, easier to collect indicators, that can be part of regular M&E
- Better adaptability to their own project contexts, specifics of their own interventions
- Better understanding of the qualitative aspects of empowerment (how and why?)
- In some cases, modules related to mobility, gender-based violence, reproductive health, self-confidence, political participation (CARE), ownership of an identity card (ILRI), shocks/resilience (FAO/IFAD), agricultural extension (iDE/IWMI), etc.
What projects want from WEAI--2

Depending on the project

- More detail on some areas (livestock), less detail in others (crops) for a livestock project, the reverse for crop projects
- Extends beyond agriculture
- Greater emphasis on autonomy in different spheres (control over income or participation in labor force, not necessarily agricultural decisions)
- Ability to tackle dimensions of empowerment that relate to health and nutrition outcomes

How to achieve this, but still have comparability?
How to know what strategy works best, if metrics are different?
Fortunately, we have experience from the Gender, Agriculture, and Assets Project (GAAP)
GAAP2 for pro-WEAI

• A second phase of the Gender, Agriculture, and Assets Project (GAAP)

• Builds on approach of GAAP1:
  – Portfolio of projects, in South Asia and Africa, in focus countries
  – Work with agricultural development projects to develop a WEAI for project use (pro-WEAI)
  – Projects will be invited to submit applications for participation in a portfolio depending on: (1) commodity focus (crop or livestock/dairy) and (2) objective (value chain/income or health/nutrition)

“Projects” mean both “projects” and “activities”—both USAID projects and activities may apply
Pro-WEAI: Adaptable but standardized

• Stands for “Project-level” WEAI
• To be developed as part of a second round of the Gender, Agriculture, and Assets Project (GAAP2), supported by the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation, USAID, and A4NH
• Portfolio approach will aim to develop comparable metrics for empowerment, across different types of commodities/project objectives
• Standardized add-on modules will be developed by the GAAP2 team, in collaboration with participating projects, for each project cluster and will be comparable within clusters of projects working on similar issues
• These modules will be added onto a core set of original WEAI modules measuring other domains of women’s empowerment
The WEAI Community of Practice

What is a community of practice (COP)?
Both a physical and a virtual, facilitated network of projects, implementers, researchers, M&E specialists, etc.

What do projects gain from joining a COP?
Cross-project opportunities beyond annual meetings for project teams to interact, share, and learn from one another.
Opportunity to share experiences, questions, and emerging insights “Learning from each other” and “learning by doing” in a more systematic way

How does a COP work?
The CoP will be facilitated by professional collaboration facilitators, Radical Inclusion. It will include webinars, virtual conferences, and online tool suites that will provide support to participating projects. It will be housed on the WEAI Resource Center, based at IFPRI.
An invitation to join the pro-WEAI Community of Practice

- A call to join the pro-WEAI COP has been posted on the GAAP site [www.gaap.ifpri.info](http://www.gaap.ifpri.info) and disseminated by USAID to its partners.

- Call for Expressions of Interest at 2 levels:
  1. Join the community of practice (open to all interested!)
  2. Join the GAAP2 portfolio to help develop pro-WEAI for the project clusters defined above (projects selected based on GAAP2 criteria)

- Pro-WEAI pilot portfolio will consist of 10-14 projects, 10 to receive funding from GAAP2

- Deadline for USAID partners: October 2
Criteria for the pro-WEAI pilots

- Located in one of the following countries: Burkina Faso, Mali, Nigeria, Ethiopia, Uganda, Tanzania, Bangladesh, India (Bihar, Odisha, Uttar Pradesh states)
- Fit in one of the project categories from typology: horticulture/crop project or a livestock/dairy project with a value chain/income objective or an improved nutrition/health objective.
- Have a women’s empowerment objective
- Have a rigorous M&E plan (including a clear theory of change (TOC), well-designed impact evaluation plan), and a plan to use both qualitative and quantitative data collection methods
- Eagerness to collaborate with the GAAP2 team and be an active participant in a community of practice regarding pro-WEAI
- Fit the GAAP2 schedule in terms of two rounds of data collection, ideally with enough intervening time to detect impact
- All projects invited to submit full proposals must demonstrate that they meet the criteria. Which projects are ultimately selected will depend on the composition of the overall portfolio.
**Top Take-aways**

1. Measuring women’s empowerment is necessary to monitor progress in addressing gender issues in agriculture and food security programming.

2. Different versions of the Women's Empowerment in Agriculture Index are available to serve different purposes - choose the one that fits your needs.

3. All are encouraged to consider applying for the Pro-WEAI pilot and Community of Practice.
Questions and Answers
Continue the conversation

Comment on today’s topic: Help WEAI Help You
Tweet tips! twitter.com/agrilinks
Post resources! facebook.com/agrilinks
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